03-12-07

PTO/SB/21 (09-06)

Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE work Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

	Application Number	10/684,722		
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	10/13/2003		
FORM	First Named Inventor	Steven M. Benedetti et al. 3679		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Art Unit			
	Examiner Name	Daniel J. Mills		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	0275M-000750		

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)								
Fee Transmittal F	orm	Drawing(s)		_	After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)			
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences				
Amendment / Reply		Petition		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)				
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information				
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Status Letter				
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):				
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund  " .  CD, Number of CD(s)		Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowace; and postcard.				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement								
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 02-2550. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.						
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application								
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53								
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT								
Firm or Individual name	Harness, Dickey & Pierce,		Attorney Name Christopher M. Brock		Reg. No. 27313			
Signature	Clemander the Brock							
Date	March 9, 2007							
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING								

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Typed or printed name	Christopher M. Brock	2	Express Mail Label No.	EV 522 877 439 US (3/9/2007)
Signature	Climbody	n. Brock	Date	March 9, 2007

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 10. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.





## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

10/684,722

Notice of Allowance Dated

12/20/2006

Filing Date:

10/13/2003

Applicants:

Steven M. Benedetti et al.

Group Art Unit:

3679

Examiner:

Daniel J. Mills

Title:

Fastener For Fixed Rib Applications

Attorney Docket:

0275M-000750

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## <u>COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE</u>

Sir:

Applicants gratefully note the allowance of Claims 1, 4-8, 10-28 and 34 in the present application. Reasons for Allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear [the Examiner's] reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104 (e). In the present case, Applicants believe the record as a whole does make clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, Applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. While Applicants believe the claims are allowable, Applicants do not acquiesce that

patentability resides solely in the specific feature or combination of features identified, or that each feature or combination of features identified is required for patentability, or that equivalents of any of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims. Moreover, to the extent the Reasons for Allowance do not separately address the subject matter of each claim, Applicants do not acquiesce to any inference that any one

claim does not present patentable subject matter independent of any other claim.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 9, 2007

By: Christopher M. Brock

Reg. No. 27313

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

CMB:bg